Decision Statement

Part 1. Stakeholders

Rate each stakeholder on a scale of one (1) to three (3):

3 = the stakeholder with the highest level of influence in the decision
1 = the stakeholder with the lowest level of influence in the decision

Provide reasoning for each of the stakeholder ratings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>Level of Influence</th>
<th>Reasoning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ashéninka)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazonian ecosystem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logging companies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents of rural communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International consumers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part 2. Consequences
Decision Statement, continued

What are the consequences these stakeholders will experience if the Pucallpa-Cruzeiro do Sul road is constructed? Place an X in the section you think the stakeholder belongs in (either most affected or least affected). Identify the consequence from your Consequence Web that is connected to each of these stakeholders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>Most Affected</th>
<th>Least Affected</th>
<th>Connection to a Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous communities (Ashéninka)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazonian ecosystem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logging companies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents of rural communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International consumers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 3. Decision Statement

Write a final decision statement, considering all stakeholders’ perspectives. The decision statement should include the following:

• Whether you would support the construction of the road

• Rationale for your decision, citing evidence from articles and maps you have investigated

• A statement of who would positively and negatively benefit from the decision
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