

# Presentation Rubric

Presenting Group

Name of Evaluator

Criteria	Proficient Wildlife Ecologist	Apprentice Wildlife Ecologist	Emerging Wildlife Ecologist	Feedback
<p><b>NGSS Science and Engineering Practice:</b>  <a href="#">Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information</a>  <a href="#">CCSS.ELA-LITERACY.SL.7.4</a>  <a href="#">CCSS.ELA-LITERACY.SL.7.5</a></p> <p>You use a combination of text and visuals to effectively communicate to stakeholders why your solution should be implemented.</p>	<p>Your presentation is easy for the audience to follow. The information is accurate and engaging. Your unit project map is included as a primary piece of evidence to support your claim. At least two other visuals are included.</p>	<p>Your presentation is somewhat easy for the audience to follow. The information is mostly accurate and engaging. Your unit project map is included as a piece of evidence to support your claim. At least one other visual is included.</p>	<p>Your presentation is not easy for the audience to follow. The information is not accurate and engaging. Your unit project map is minimally included or not included as a piece of evidence to support your claim. No other visuals are included.</p>	
<p><b>NGSS Science and Engineering Practice:</b>  <a href="#">Engaging in Argument from Evidence</a></p> <p>You develop an evidence-based argument with reasoning about why your recommended solutions should be implemented.</p> <p><b>Claim:</b> You state the animal migration problem and what would solve it.</p> <p><b>Evidence:</b> You provide scientific findings or data that support your claim. Evidence is appropriate and sufficient to support the claim.</p> <p><b>Reasoning:</b> You provide justification that explains how the provided evidence supports your claim.</p>	<p>Your claim is well supported by evidence and reasoning. Three to four pieces of appropriate evidence are provided. The evidence provided is sufficient for supporting the claim. Reasoning that shows why all evidence supports the claim is provided, using appropriate and sufficient scientific principles.</p>	<p>Your claim is moderately supported by evidence and reasoning. One to two pieces of appropriate evidence are provided. The evidence provided may not be sufficient for supporting the claim. Reasoning for one to two pieces of evidence is provided.</p>	<p>Your claim is not supported by evidence and/or reasoning. Evidence is not provided or it is inappropriate (it does not support the claim). The evidence provided is vague. Reasoning is not provided, does not link evidence to the claim, or is inappropriate.</p>	

**Stakeholder Feedback\***

\*This criteria will be used for stakeholders that provide evidence-based reasoning for their decisions.

You identified four relevant stakeholders and provided accurate, detailed descriptions. Three or four of your stakeholders voted to approve your recommended solution. Only one or fewer stakeholders recommended revisions or rejected the solution.

You identified four stakeholders and provided descriptions. Only two or three stakeholders voted to approve your recommended solution. Two or more stakeholders recommended revisions or rejected the solution.

You identified less than four stakeholders but provided descriptions OR you identified four stakeholders but did not provide descriptions. One or fewer stakeholders voted to approve your recommended solution. Three or more stakeholders recommended revisions or rejected the solution.